

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.      |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/764,974                      | 01/18/2001                           | Hnas-Jurgen Schaschke | PSB 2000/01 (8463*1)    | 7109                  |  |
| 23416 7:                        | 590 09/10/2003                       |                       |                         |                       |  |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP |                                      |                       | EXAMINER                |                       |  |
|                                 | P O BOX 2207<br>WILMINGTON, DE 19899 |                       |                         | JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL |  |
|                                 |                                      |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER          |  |
|                                 |                                      |                       | 3726                    | 14                    |  |
|                                 |                                      |                       | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003 | 17                    |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A Co

|  | (1  |
|--|-----|
| (s)  |     |
| KE, HNAS-JURGEN  |     |
|  |     |
| ence address   |     |
| ALLOWANCE.  Oper reply to a  e application in  uest for Continued  |     |
|  |     |
| jection, whichever is later.<br>inal rejection.<br>JECTION. See MPEP   | In  |
| d the appropriate extension The appropriate extension In the final Office action; or In the final rejection, even if | n i |
| th in  |     |
| below);  |     |
| ing or simplifying the   |     |
| ed claims.   |     |
|  |     |
| ely filed amendment  |     |
| loes NOT place the   |     |
| nich were newly  |     |
| entered and an<br>ided.  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |
|  |     |

Application No. Applicant( SCHASCH 09/764,974 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 3726 Marc Jimenez -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponde THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A pro final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requ Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rej no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the fi ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJ Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set is (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set for 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appea 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduci issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tim canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but d application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues when the solution is the solution of the soluti raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be e explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appen The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . . . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: SUPERVISORY RATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the roller (fig. 2) is in a dampening system of an offset printing machine as claimed. The claims do not require that the roller be part of the dampening system, only that the roll is used in a dampening system. The roller (10) of Arlid et al. is used in a dampening system because the roll (10) is is connected to all of the rollers in fig. 3.